



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Michihisa TASAKA et al.

Application No.: 09/384,380

Group Art Unit: 1713

Filed: August 27, 1999

Examiner: Rip A. Lee

Confirmation No.: 7724

For: FIRE-RETARDANT RESIN COMPOSITION AND MOLDED PART USING THE
SAME

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.132

Honorable Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

I, Kazuhiko KOBAYASHI, declare and state that:

1. I am a Japanese citizen residing at 3-26-7, Sugita,
Isogo-ku, Yokohama-shi, Kanagawa-ken, Japan.

I was graduated from Science & Engineering Section, CHUO
University in March 1982.

I have been employed by RIKEN VINYL INDUSTRY CO., LTD.
(whose name is changed to RIKEN TECHNOS CORPORATION as of October
1, 2001) since April 1982. I engaged in research and development
of insulating-materials for electric wires at Compound Technical
Department of the said company since April 1985. Further, I have
been engaged in research and development of insulating-materials
for electric wires in Polymer Application R&D Department at
Material Research Center of the said company since April 1998.

I am intimately familiar with the contents of United States

RECEIVED
DEC 17 2003
TC 1700

Patent Application No. 09/384,380, filed on August 27, 1999, its prosecution before the United States Patent & Trademark Office, and the references cited therein.

2. I have studied the contents of the cited Tasaka et al.'s U.S. Patent No. 6,433,062, and Aida et al.'s U.S. Patent No. 5,221,781.

3. To show the superiority of the present invention, the following tests were conducted, by me or under my supervision:

Test

As described in the EXAMPLES section (from line 4 on page 53, to page 72) of the present specification, the resin compositions, sheets of said compositions, and insulated wires having insulating coverings of said compositions of Example 1 and Comparative Example 1, respectively, were prepared.

That is, use was made of, as the ingredient (a), a hydrogenated styrene/ethylene/propylene/styrene copolymer (SEPS); as the ingredient (b), a paraffin oil; as the ingredient (c), an ethylene/1-octene copolymer having a density of 0.87 g/cm³ (c-1); as the ingredient (d), a polypropylene (MFR: 8g/10 min) (d-1) or (d-2), as shown in the following Table B; as the ingredient (e), 2,5-dimethyl-2,5-di(t-butylperoxy)-hexane; as the ingredient (f), triethylene glycol dimethacrylate; and as the component (B), magnesium hydroxide whose surface had been treated with a vinyl silane (B-1) for Example 1, or magnesium hydroxide whose surface had been treated with an aliphatic acid (B-2) for

Comparative Example 1, as shown in Table B, to prepare the compositions, respectively.

In both Example 1 and Comparative Example 1, all of the components were dryblended at room temperature, heated and kneaded in a Banbury mixer at 200 °C, and then discharged at the discharge temperature of 200 °C, to obtain the fire-retardant resin compositions. The temperature 200°C at which the components were heated and kneaded in a Banbury mixer was equal to or higher than the melting temperature of the thermoplastic resin component (A).

The compounds that were used, as shown in Table B, were described in detail in from line 4 on page 61, to the last line on page 64, in particular, the compounds for (B) Metal hydrate are listed again as follows:

(B-1) for Example 1

Manufacturing company: Kyowa Chemical Co., Ltd.

Trade name: Kisma 5LH

Type: magnesium hydroxide surface-treated with a silane coupling agent having a vinyl group at the terminal; and

(B-2) for Comparative Example 1

Manufacturing company: Kyowa Chemical Co., Ltd.

Trade name: Kisma 5B

Type: magnesium hydroxide treated with an aliphatic acid

This metal hydrate, Kisma 5B (trade name), $Mg(OH)_2$ all of which was pretreated with an aliphatic acid, is the same one utilized in Examples 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21 and 22 of Aida et al.'s U.S. Patent No. 5,221,781 (hereinafter referred to Aida '781). Please see lines 33 to 36 in column 12, and Table 2 in columns 13 to 16 of Aida '781.

From the thus-obtained resin compositions of Example 1 and Comparative Example 1, 1-mm-thick sheets were formed, respectively, as described in lines 11 to 13 on page 55 of the present specification.

In addition, from the resin compositions of Example 1 and Comparative Example 1, insulated wires were prepared, respectively, as described in lines 14 to 22 on page 55 of the present specification.

As to the thus-obtained sheets, the tensile properties (extension (elongation) (%) and tensile strength (MPa)) and the heat deformation property were tested and evaluated in the same manner as described in lines 19 to 24 on page 56 of the present specification. The results are shown in Table B.

As to the thus-obtained insulated wires, the tensile properties, the abrasion resistance, the horizontal flame test, the 60°-inclined flame test, the heat deformation rate test, the whitening test (if a whitening phenomenon was observed when bent), the extrudability test, and the flexibility test were carried out,

to test and evaluate the covering layers of each insulated wire in the same manner as described in from line 4 on page 57, to line 3 on page 61 of the present specification. The results are also shown in Table B.

Further, for reference, the resin compositions and results exhibited by the sheets and wires prepared from the compositions, shown in Table A in the Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 dated April 18, 2003, were excerpted and are again shown in Table B below (Comparative example 101 and Example 10). Example 10 contained the metal hydrate (B) (i.e. B-1 and B-2) in a relative amount of 163 parts by weight to 100 parts by weight of the thermoplastic resin component (A) (i.e., {a + b + c-1 + (d-1 or d-2)}), and more than half the amount of the metal hydrate (B) was made up of $Mg(OH)_2$ pretreated with a silane coupling agent having a vinyl group at its terminal (B-1). Comparative example 101 contained the same amount of the metal hydrate (B). However, in Comparative example 101, less than half the amount of the metal hydrate (B) was made up of $Mg(OH)_2$ pretreated with such a silane coupling agent (B-1).

Tabl B

		Comparative Example 1	Example 1	Comparative example 101	Example 10
a	SEPS	100	100	100	100
b	Paraffin oil	40	40	40	40
c-1	Ethylene/ α -olefin copolymer (ethylene/1-octene copolymer synthesized using single site catalyst) (Density, 0.870)	133	133	133	133
d-1	Block polypropylene	—	33	—	—
d-2	Random polypropylene	33	—	33	33
e	Organic peroxide	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66
f	Crosslinking aid	2	2	2	2
	Maleic acid-modified LLDPE	27	27	27	27
B-1	Kisma 5LH (Mg(OH) ₂ treated with vinyl silane)	—	500 (163)*	200	300
B-2	Kisma 5B (Mg(OH) ₂ treated with aliphatic acid)	500 (163)*	—	300	200
	Antioxidant	3	3	3	3
	Lubricant	6	6	6	6
Tests of the sheet	Extension (%)	520	200	460	390
	Tensile strength (MPa)	× 6	○ 19	× 9.0	○ 12
	Heat deformation at 121 °C (%)	20	13	13	13
Tests of the insulated (electric) wire	Extension (%)	530	220	430	390
	Tensile strength (MPa)	× 6	○ 20	× 9.3	○ 12
	Horizontal flame test	10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
	60°-inclined flame test	10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
	Abrasion resistance	△	○	○	○
	Whitening	×	○	×	○
	Heat deformation (%)	35	21	22	21
	Extrudability	○	○	○	○
	Flexibility	○	○	○	○

Note 1: Each amount of ingredients in the composition is expressed in "parts by weight"

Note 2: "." means not added

Note 3: * A numerical value in a bracket shows in a relative amount of Component (B) to 100 parts by weight of the thermoplastic resin Component (A), i.e. {a + b + c-1 + (d-1 or d-2)}.

Note 4: Criteria of evaluation for the properties shown in Table B.

For sheets;

Extension; A value of 100% or more is required to pass the test;

Tensile strength;

10 MPa or more: Good (designated by "O").

Less than 10 MPa: Not good (designated by "x");

Heat deformation; A value of 30% or less is required to pass the test.

For insulated wires:

Extension; A value of 100% or more is required to pass the test;

Tensile strength;

10 MPa or more: Good (designated by "O").

Less than 10 MPa: Not good (designated by "x");

Abrasion resistance; The number of movements of the blade was 1000 or more (designated by "O") or 500 or more but less than 1000 (designated by "x"), until in contact with the conductor, each of which passed the test;

Whitening;

No whitening occurrence after winding 6 times: Good (designated by "O").

Whitening occurrence 6 times or more after winding 6 times: Practically undesirable (designated by "x");

Heat deformation; A value of less than 50% is required to pass the test;

Extrudability;

With a normal load, there is provided an extruded wire-like product having good outer appearance: Acceptable (designated by "O");

Flexibility;

The length of the end lowered from the original level was 3 cm or more: Good (designated "O").

In the results of the horizontal flame test, the numbers of samples that passed the test (per 10 trials) are shown; and in the results of the 60°-inclined flame test, the numbers of samples that passed the test (per 10 trials) are shown.

As is apparent from the results shown in Table B, the sheets prepared from the compositions of Examples 1 and 10 according to the present invention exhibited unexpectedly superior results with respect to tensile strength, compared with the sheets prepared from the compositions of Comparative Examples 1 and 101.

Further, as is apparent from the results shown in Table B, the insulated wires prepared by employing the compositions of Examples 1 and 10 according to the present invention exhibited unexpectedly superior results in tensile strength and whitening, compared with the insulated wires prepared by employing the compositions of Comparative Examples 1 and 101.

The whitening characteristics as mentioned in the above are the properties to be classified into physical properties of a product, such as a wire or a molded plug, as well as aesthetic features thereof. Please note that whitening phenomenon is influenced with flexibility of the product when being bent. If conspicuous whitening occurs to a product, such a product suffering conspicuous whitening may damage an insulating covering made of the resin composition, to cause a serious problem on insulating property of the covering.

In summary, in every test for each of the sheets and the insulated wires, the compositions of the present invention, in which all of the metal hydrate $Mg(OH)_2$, or a specific ratio as defined in the present invention of the metal hydrate $Mg(OH)_2$ was pretreated by a specific silane coupling agent having a vinyl

|

group or an epoxy group at its terminal, satisfied the criteria required and showed excellent performances. This is in contrast with the poor performances of the compositions of the comparative example, in which, as in Aida '781, all of the metal hydrate $Mg(OH)_2$ was pretreated by an aliphatic acid, or the metal hydrate $Mg(OH)_2$ was pretreated with such a silane coupling agent in a ratio but outside the range defined in the present invention.

It is believed that the distinct difference caused between the excellent properties as exhibited in Example 1 and the poor properties as shown in Comparative Example 1 is due to the difference of the surface-treatment (pretreatment) of the metal hydrate either by the specific silane coupling agent having a reactive group such as a vinyl group or an epoxy group at its terminal (in Example 1) or by the aliphatic acid not so reactive as the above silane coupling agent (in Comparative Example 1).

The data already of record in the specification and the supplemental data submitted herewith demonstrate unexpectedly superior results of the claimed fire-retardant resin composition, molded part, and method for processing fire-retardant resin composition over those of the cited prior art.

4. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or

imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date: December 11, 2003

Kazuhiko Kobayashi
Kazuhiko KOBAYASHI